Message

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]

Sent: 3/19/2018 5:47:55 PM

To: Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]

CC: Fennessy, Christopher (christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com) (christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com)

[christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]

Subject: FW: Aerojet Area 40 - MOU

Hi Lynn,

Please see the below emails, specifically the one from Charlie re: his communication with Rich Hiett – I thought when you and I talked we agreed that EPA should regain lead upon Implementation? I think you and your management need to be on the same page before we finalize the MOU or let me know if EPA management envisions a different pathway than the one we discussed previously. Please advise.

-Pete

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 9:41 AM

To: Alasti, Isabella@DTSC <Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov>
Cc: Beckman, William@DTSC <William.Beckman@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Aerojet Area 40 - MOU

So should I forward this to Lynn or wait for your review of the PPMR and PCD? The PPMR will not cover this...as its only a listing of the work to be done. Did you correspond these sentiments with Larry Bradfish? How do you advise Program to proceed?

-Pete

From: Alasti, Isabella@DTSC

Sent: Monday, March 12, 2018 9:59 AM

To: Ridenour, Charlie@DTSC < Charlie.Ridenour@dtsc.ca.gov; MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC

<Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>

Cc: Beckman, William@DTSC <William.Beckman@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Aerojet Area 40 - MOU

Just one added fyi – the MOU says that there will be some kind of agreement between DTSC and AR because that was what we had discussed. But AR doesn't want that and says it can be addressed through the Partial CD and the PPMR. I have to read those to see if that's true. So, while I finished review of the MOU and think most of it is done, from my viewpoint, this one item is still waiting for me to review the PCD and PPMR..... Of course the MOU could be finalized by staying vague on what the agreement will be.....

Thx Isabella

From: Ridenour, Charlie@DTSC

Sent: Friday, March 09, 2018 1:14 PM

To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC < Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov >; Alasti, Isabella@DTSC < Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov >

Cc: Beckman, William@DTSC < William.Beckman@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Aerojet Area 40 - MOU

Bill and Pete, I just followed up with Rich Hiett to determine the status of Aerojet Area 40 and he says just the opposite. He stated that EPA wants DTSC to continue oversight through implementation and that DTSC wanted to stop at the RAP. Please coordinate with EPA to clear this up and see if we can finalize the MOU.

Charlie

From: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC

Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2018 12:46 PM

To: Ridenour, Charlie@DTSC < Charlie.Ridenour@dtsc.ca.gov >; Alasti, Isabella@DTSC < Isabella.Alasti@dtsc.ca.gov >

Cc: Beckman, William@DTSC < William.Beckman@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: Aerojet Area 40 - MOU

Hi All,

Lynn called today and wanted to check in on the status of management/legal review of the MOU. EPA has seen Isabella's comments but not sure if Charlie was going to provide any additional edits?

EPA now feels that the MOU should only be used to get through the RAP not into the Design and Implementation as currently presented (RD/RA). Additionally, there are questions on whose VI guidance should be referenced - Region IX or DTSC? EPA is hesitant to reference DTSC IA/VI Guidance because its still in draft form and more changes are anticipated?

EPA would like any and all comments by end of the week so Isabella and Larry Bradfish can follow-up next week on details and language.

Thanks

Peter MacNicholl, P.E.

Department of Toxic Substances Control Project Manager Cleanup Program – Sacramento Office 8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento, California 95826

Ph: 916-255-3713

Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov